Remote Sensing Applications in Unconventional Groundwater Recharge

Mohamed Elhag and Jarbou A. Bahrawi

Department of Hydrology and Water Resources Management,
Faculty of Meteorology, Environment and Arid Land Agriculture,
King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80208 Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia

Abstract: Remote sensing applications in water resources management are becoming an essential asset in all different levels of integrated water rational use. Due to remote sensing data availability and different acquisition sensors of satellite images, a wide variability of benchmarks could be conducted under the same theme. Rainwater harvesting is the branch of science where the rainwater is the main target to improve groundwater recharge, stratocumulus clouds are the main source of rain in arid regions. Cloud detection using remote sensing techniques proved to be efficient recently but the general uses of different cloud detection techniques are to precisely omit clouds from satellite images. The use of cloud detection scheme described herein is designed for the MERIS Level1B data, therefore total set of 60 MERIS images were collected on monthly basis for five years started from January 2008. The use of the cloud detection algorithm is to find proper land cover suitable for rainwater harvesting mostly covered with cloud all over the year. Evaluation of land use for rainwater harvesting in term of groundwater recharge is considered, several factors were taken into consideration and NDWI is one of the most important factors involved. Results pointed out that some regions in southern Saudi Arabia are qualified enough to be considered as potential sites for better rainwater harvesting.

Key words: Cloud Detection · Geographical Information System · Rainwater Harvesting · Remote Sensing

INTRODUCTION

Adequate water management is founded on understanding the interconnections in the hydrological cycle. Informative knowledge of the designated catchment water balance is needed [1]. Catchment area by definition is the total area of terrestrial which catches rainfall and contributes the placid water to a certain surface water or potential groundwater recharge [2].

Measurements of water vapor and cloud are important for accurate precipitation forecasts, for estimating surface energy budgets and advance water resources management. In global climate models, a modest error in predicted cloud cover could change the sing of global warming signal [3]. Data are needed on the horizontal and vertical distribution of clouds, their scaling properties and cloud microphysical properties.

Humidity measurements are important, especially in the lower troposphere, where shortwave space-based measurements systems have difficulty penetrating [4]. While, longwave space-based measurements including thermal infrared can meet the requirements to penetrate clouds. However, horizontal distributions of cloud and in cloud spatial distributions are important parameters that are not presently well-measured [5, 6].

The formulation of cloud water is based on the interception befalls of droplets amalgamate on different earth surface features including mainly the vegetation cover [7-11]. Several elements stimulus the formation of cloud water interception including cloud spatial distribution, droplet size, vegetation cover and wind velocity are the basically encountered. Cloud interception by the mountains belt in the study area is expected to be a joint phenomenon along the area [12].

Corresponding Author: Mohamed Elhag, Department of Hydrology and Water Resources Management, Faculty of Meteorology, Environment and Arid Land Agriculture, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80208 Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

Soil moisture is important for water resources management. In particular, soil moisture regulates the partitioning of incoming radiative energy into sensible and latent heat fluxes make it relevant to the decision makers [13]. It also partitions precipitation between infiltration, runoff and evaporation, making it equally important for sustainable water resource management. Both of active and passive microwave systems have been used to estimate soil moisture remarkably [13, 14].

Groundwater is becoming a more important source of water in many years. Unfortunately, the last decade witnesses an over exploitation of groundwater, in a whole or in part, over recharge [15, 16]. Methods for inventorying groundwater, for assessing changes in its availability and for reliable predictions of future change and need for effective groundwater management [17, 18].

The impact of lithology and geomorphology in semiarid regions is exemplified by variances between designated areas and its adjoining geological feature [19-21]. Sinkhole in Saudi Arabia receives about 47% of the average rainfall (100 mm/year) and withdraws surface runoff into its sinkholes interconnections [22].

Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) imagery is used in the current research. Two of the key features of the MERIS instrument are it's temporal resolution (revisit time of 3 days) and it's spatial coverage (swath width of 1150 km) with spectral range from 400 nm to 1000 nm, which make unavoidable the presence of cloud [23, 24].

The aim of the current study is to improve rainwater harvesting in term of groundwater recharge through: 1) create spatiotemporal cloud map, 2) evaluating delineated watershed lies beneath mostly cloudy area all year round and 3) adequate condition of soil moisture and geological map.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Area: Study area located between the Red Sea on the west and Najd Plateau and the Empty Quarter on the east. Mountains belt cross the study area vertically and closer to the Red Sea side (Fig. 1). Investigated area is about 100,000 km^2 of Red Sea coastal plains, high mountains and the upper valleys of the wadis (seasonal watercourses) are Bîshah and Tathlîth. Agricultural practices are undergoing on a prosperous scale within the region. It has an area of 77,088 km² and an estimated population of 1,563,000. The western slope of the designated study area escarpment is steep with relatively short wadi systems. On the other hand, the area to the east of the escarpment is characterized by large catchments with a milder slop and longer wadi system. The average annual rainfall in the highlands probably ranges from 600 to 10000 mm falling in two rainy seasons, the chief one being in March and April with some rain in the summer. Meanwhile, the eastern plains and plateaus receive much lower amounts, from 500 mm to below 100 mm. Temperatures are extreme, with diurnal temperature ranges in the highlands the greatest in the world. It is common for after noon temperatures to be over 30°C, yet mornings can be frosty and fog can cut visibility to near zero percent. As a result, there is much more natural vegetation in the study area than in any other part of Saudi Arabia. The geology of the study area contains


Fig 1: Administrative boundaries of KSA regions with location of the study area highlighted

different geological features including: the mountains consist primarily of sedimentary rock, limestone, sandstone and shale, of Jurassic, Cretaceous and Paleogene origin on a Precambrian granitic basement [25].

Methodological Framework and Dataset: The current research study is founded on the interconnections of different aspects or research prospective. Cloud detection algorithm was implemented to produce cloud certainty thematic maps over the designated study area using 60 imageries collected from January 2008 till December 2013 on monthly basis, satellite imageries were collected from Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS) instrument on board the European Space Agency (ESA) ENVISAT environmental satellite. Soil moisture content was evaluated using Landsat 8 image collected after the rainy season in June 2013. Morphometric maps such as slope, aspect and hillshade were generated based on ASTER Digital Elevation Model. In order to estimate potential flow direction, basin areas and streams flow and watershed delineation were needed.

Cloud Detection Algorithm: The cloud detection algorithm is using nine spectral bands of MERIS. Specifically, the ratio of band 10 (cloud optical thickness, cloud-top pressure reference), band 11 (Cloud-top / Surface pressure) and band 12 (aerosol, vegetation) which is an oxygen absorption indicator [26]. Algorithm yields a probability value (0 to1) indicating if a pixel can be regarded as a cloud or not (Fig. 2). Such a probability permits a more flexible way to work with identified clouds compared to a binary cloud mask [27, 28]. The algorithm uses simulated cloud and noncloud top of atmosphere radiance and an Artificial Neural Net has

been trained [29, 30]. A post-processing is applied after the net (nn2prop) which scales the output of the Artificial Neural Network into a probability value.

Cloud Detection Algorithm Validation: There different levels of validation were implemented to define the more adequate methodology to classify cloudy pixels. Cloudy probability, Total Ozone concentration and Relative humidity are three different masks used to evaluate algorithm outputs. However, the method must work under many situations. Therefore, TOA reflectance is estimated to remove the dependence on particular illumination conditions (day of the year and angular configuration) and illumination effects due to rough terrain (cosine correction). The irradiance correction corrects the variation of the solar irradiance, which is different between the wavelength of the pixel and the reference wavelength.

Normalized Difference Water Index: The normalized difference water index (NDWI) implemented in the current study practices two near-InfraRed channels; one centered approximately at 0.86 μm and the other at 1.24 μm represented as Near-Infrared (NIR) and Short Wave Infrared (SWIR) channels in Landsat 8 respectively. Following Cosh [31], as simple as NDVI, NDWI is defined as:

$$NDW\ I = (1-SWIR/NIR) / (1+SWIR/NIR) \qquad (1)$$

In order to show that NDWI can be useful for remote sensing soil moisture status from space, the reflectance properties of green vegetation, dry vegetation and soils


Fig 2: MERIS Cloud detection algorithm.

and the absorption and scattering properties of atmospheric gases and aerosols were taken into consideration [13].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cloud detection algorithm was applied on 60 time series MERIS images and cloud detection thematic maps were produced. Three different masks were applied to verify the most certain method of cloud detection considered in the current research study. Thematic maps were summed and divided over 60 to produce average cloud spatiotemporal map. The use of 60 satellite images was considered as the minimum time series data set or model stability justification [32].

Final cloud map was classified into three cloud status classes. The current algorithm verified to be resourceful in cloud detection over terrestrial land scape [33-36]. Watershed delineation resulted into several watershed exists in the study area, the uppermost surface runoff watershed is considered for further analysis in term of water stream network. Could spatiotemporal map illustrated in Figure 3 shows the variation in term of cloud cover, cloud certainty map emphasizes on some areas are always cloudy covered. Due to the mountain belt located in the study area a cloud trap is also confined for certain areas most of the year. The western side of the watershed shares cloudy coverage most of the year with the mountain belt. This cloudy cover might be considered as the source of the watershed torrents [37]. Despite the fact that the majority of the selected watershed is either marginally cloudy or cloudy free, however the sink of the watershed is covered mostly by clouds. Unanticipated flood incidents may lead to destructive impacts due the geomorphological features in the study area [38].

Three different validation masks used against the three levels of cloud coverageresulted respectively (cloudy, marginal cloudy and not cloudy). Cloud mask illustrated distinct separation method of different cloud coverage, the value of the cloudy pixels were mostly at value 1 (Figure 4). The use of total ozone concentration as validation mask demonstrated in Figure 5 shows that the ozone mask is not the proper mask to validate cloudy pixels [4, 23]. Generally it's a proper mask to distinguish uncertain cloud pixels. Relative humidity validation mask showed a wide range of pixels values at the three cloud coverage classes (Figure 6). The mask is not distinguishing any class in particular, therefore the use of the mask is not recommended for the BEAM Cloud Probability Processor [39, 40]. Further investigations based on the type of the clouds might be needed to fine tune the relative humidity mask [35, 41].


Fig 3: Study area in false color composition with cloud coverage classes.

Fig 4: Stratocumulus clouds validation using cloudy mask

Fig 5: Total Ozone validation using cloudy mask

Fig 6: Relative humidity validation using cloudy mask.

Fig 7: Geological features of the study area within the designated watershed.

Only a small lower part of the watershed lies over a Quaternary alluvial geological layer which is characterized by higher permeability [19, 20, 21], the sink of the watershed receives the runoff and settled it down leaving a better chance for groundwater recharge process showed in Fig. 7 [42].

Normalized Difference Water Index exemplified in Fig. 8, indicates that the most of eastern mountain belt of the study area including the designated watershed are located over a relatively dry soil. Dry soils have a higher tendency to accommodate preferably surface water which may leads to improve groundwater recharge [43-45]. Differences in spatial soil moisture content maps can be used for the identification of distinctive areas of potential for groundwater recharge [46, 47].

The main finding of the current research is based on the interconnections between the previously conducted results as it composed in Fig. 9, cloud coverage distribution map intersected with the geological map of the designated area. Furthermore the stream network within the main watershed of the area draws the attention to the watershed sink to maximize the use of the rainwater and prevent frequent flood risks [48]. The sink is characterized by cloudy sky most of the year, relatively semi dry soil and adequate geological permeable layer. The interconnections of those conditions improve groundwater recharge process through less evaporation effect, slower saturation velocity and higher potential permeability, respectively [49]. Temporal changes in land use in the designated area moves toward

Fig 8: Normalized Difference Water Index map of the study area.

Fig 9: Suggested area for groundwater recharge improvements.

reclaiming lands for agricultural practices put more pressure on the scares water resources in such arid environment [50].

CONCLUSIONS

Determination of "hotspot" areas, where the groundwater recharge potential is favorable is multi prospective process. Groundwater recharge is a complicated process and in general it's under studied in Saudi Arabia. Cloud detection algorithm showed robust results over different land covers within the study area and the use of cloud probability validation mask proved to be the most preferable mask for cloud detection accuracy. Temporal cloud coverage analyses for five years period were conducted to assure the stability of the cloud disruption in arid environment. Presences of stable cloud coverage in some specific areas may reduce the surface runoff evaporation. Dry soils are more adequate to facilitate water percolation into deeper soils, wet soils are getting saturated rapidly and also may get clogged swiftly. The spatiotemporal distribution of the clouds raises the quest for the proper use of the current methodology. The association concerning the cloudy pixels and type of geology feature beneath is the keystone of appropriate practice of the contemporary approach. Nevertheless, stratocumulus clouds are the main source of rainwater in the area so using the cloud coverage maps will be strongly exercises the conservational use of water resources management in arid regions. The practices of water resources management are many but the present methodology helps decision makers to decide where the dams need to be built to increase the potentials of groundwater recharge as a direct implementation of the adopted method. Valuable information could be extracted from remote sensing data only when the limitation conditions are taken into account. Limiting conditions for the application of Normalized Difference Water Index may rely mainly on surface roughness and the type of land use. The use of remote sensing data in term multi-spectral and multi-temporal imageries provides a cost-effective tool to obtain valuable information for better understanding and monitoring land development patterns and processes.

REFERENCES

1. Elhag, M., A. Psilovikos, I. Manakos and K. Perakis, 2011. Application of the SEBS Water Balance Model in Estimating Daily Evapotranspiration and Evaporative Fraction from Remote Sensing Data over the Nile Delta. Water Resources Management, 25(11): 2731-2742.

2. Prada, S., M. Menezes de Sequeira, C. Figueira and M.O. Silva, 2010. Fog precipitation and rainfall interception in the natural forests of Madeira Island (Portugal). Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 149: 1179-1187.

3. Deepika, B., K. Avinash and K.S. Jayappa, 2013. Integration of hydrological factors and demarcation of groundwater prospect zones: insights from remote sensing and GIS techniques. Environmental Earth Sciences, 70(3): 1319-1338.

4. Engelhardt, I., R. Rausch, B. Keim, M. Al-Saud and C. Schuth, 2013. Surface and subsurface conceptual model of an arid environment with respect to mid- and late Holocene climate changes, 69(2): 537-555.

5. Jedlovec, G.J., S.L. Haines and F.J. LaFontaine, 2008. Spatial and temporal varying thresholds for cloud detection in GOES imagery. IEEE Trans. Geoscience of Remote Sensing, 46(6): 1705-1717.

6. Feister, U., H. Möller, T. Sattler, J. Shields, U. Görsdorf and J. Güldner, 2010. Comparison of macroscopic cloud data from ground-based measurements using VIS/NIR and IR instruments at Lindenberg, Germany. Atmospheric Research, 96: 395-407.

7. Bruijnzeel, L.A., W. Eugster and R. Burkard, 2005. Fog as a hydrologic input, in: Anderson, M.G., McDonnell, J. (eds.), Encyclopedia of Hydrological Sciences. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, pp: 559-582.

8. Holder, C.D., 2003. Fog precipitation in the Sierra de las Minas Biosphere Reserve, Guatemala. Hydrological Processes, 17: 2001-2010.

9. Holder, C.D., 2004. Rainfall interception and fog precipitation in a tropical montane cloud forest of Guatemala. Forest Ecology and Management, 190: 373-384.

10. Prada, S., M. Menezes de Sequeira, C. Figueira, V. Prior and M.O. Silva, 2009. Response to "Comment on fog precipitation and rainfall interception in the natural forests of Madeira Island (Portugal)". Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 150: 1154-1157.

11. Brauman, K.A., D.L. Freyberg and G.C. Daily, 2010. Forest structure influences on rainfall partitioning and cloud interception: A comparison of native forest sites in Kona, Hawaii. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 150: 265-275.

12. Elhag, M. and J. Bahrawi, 2014. Cloud Coverage Disruption for Groundwater Recharge Improvement Using Remote Sensing Techniques in Asir Region, Saudi Arabia. Life Science Journal, 11(1): 192-200.

13. Moghadas, D., K.Z. Jadoon, J. Vanderborght, S. Lambot and H. Vereecken, 2013. Effects of near surface soil moisture profiles during evaporation on far-field ground-penetrating radar data: A numerical study. Vadose Zone Journal 12(2): doi:10.2136 /vzj2012.0138.

14. Dasgupta, S., 2007. Remote sensing techniques for vegetation moisture and fire risk estimation. Ph.D. dissertation, George Mason University Virginia, United States.

15. Al-Othman, A.A. and I. Ahmed, 2012. Hydrogeological framework and its implication on water level rise in Eastern ArRiyadh, Saudi Arabia. Environmental Earth Sciences, 67(5): 1493-1502.

16. Huang, C.C., H.F. Yeh, H.I. Lin, S.T. Lee, K.C. Hsu and C.H. Lee, 2013. Groundwater recharge and exploitative potential zone mapping using GIS and GOD techniques. Environmental Earth Sciences, 68(1): 267-280.

17. Al-Charideh, A., 2012. Recharge rate estimation in the Mountain karst aquifer system of Figeh spring, Syria. Environmental Earth Sciences, 65(4): 1169-1178.

18. Gieske, A.S.M., 1992. Dynamics of groundwater recharge: a case study in semi-arid eastern Botswana. PhD Thesis, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, pp: 289.

19. De Vries, J.J., 1997. Prediction in hydrogeology: two case histories. Geologische Rundschau, 86: 354-371.

20. Selaolo, E.T., 1998. Tracer studies and groundwater recharge assessment in the eastern fringe of the Botswana Kalahari. PhD Thesis, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, pp: 229.

21. De Vries, J.J., E.T. Selaolo and H.E. Beekman, 2000. Groundwater recharge in the Kalahari, with reference to paleo-hydrologic conditions. Journal of Hydrology, 238: 110-123.

22. Hoetzl, H., 1995. Groundwater recharge in an arid karst area (Saudi Arabia). International Association of Hydrological Sciences, 232: 195-207.

23. Delwart, S., R. Preusker, L. Bourg, R. Santer, D. Ramon and J. Fischer, 2007. MERIS inflight spectral calibration. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 28: 479-496.

24. Brockmann, C., A. Ruescas and K. Stelzer, 2011. MERIS pixel identification. ATBD, 2-17, ESA-ESRIN.

25. El-Maghraby, M.S., A.O. Abu El Nasr and M.A. Hamouda, 2013. Quality assessment of groundwater at south Al Madinah Al Munawarah area, Saudi Arabia. Environmental Earth Sciences, 70(4): 1525-1538.

26. Rink, K., T. Kalbacher and O. Kolditz, 2012. Visual data exploration for hydrological analysis. Environmental Earth Sciences, 65(5): 1395-1403.

27. McNally, A.P. and P.D. Watts, 2003. A cloud detection algorithm for high spectral resolution infrared sounders. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 129: 3411-3423.

28. Lindstrot, R., R. Preusker and J. Fischer, 2009. The retrieval of land surface pressure from MERIS measurements in the Oxygen A band. American Meteorological Society, pp: 1367-1377.

29. Saunders, R.W. and R.T. Kriebel, 1988. An improved method for detecting clear sky and cloudy radiances from AVHRR data. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 9: 123-150.

30. Mecikalski, J.R., P. Minnis and R. Palikonda, 2013. Use of satellite derived cloud properties to quantify growing cumulus beneath cirrus clouds. Atmospheric Research, 120-121: 192-201.

31. Cosh, M.H., T.J. Jackson, C. Smith, B. Toth and A.A. Berg, 2013. Validating the BERMS in situ soil water content data record with a large scale temporary network. Vadose Zone Journal, 12(2): doi:10.2136/vzj2012.0151.

32. Psilovikos, A. and M. Elhag, 2013. Forecasting of Remotely Sensed Daily Evapotranspiration Data over Nile Delta Region, Egypt, Water Resources Management, 27(12): 4115-4130.

33. Fischer, J. and R. Bennartz, 1997. Retrieval of total water vapour content from MERIS measurements, Algorithm Theoretical Basis Document PO-TN-MEL-GS-0005, ESA-ESTEC, Noordwijk, Netherlands.

34. Fischer, J., R. Preusker and L. Schüller, 1997. ATBD cloud top pressure. European Space Agency Algorithm Theoretical Basis Doc. PO-TN-MEL-GS-0006, pp: 28.

35. Key, E.L., P.J. Minnett and R.A. Jones, 2004. Cloud distributions over the coastal Arctic Ocean: surface-based and satellite observations. Atmospheric Research, 72(1-4): 57-88.

36. Lindstrot, R., R. Preusker and J. Fischer, 2010. The empirical correction of stray light in the MERIS oxygen A band channel, Journal of Atmosphere and Oceanic Technology, 27(7): 1185-1194.

37. Ramos, A.M., R. Ramos, P. Sousa, R.M. Trigo, M. Janeira and V. Prior, 2011. Cloud to ground lightning activity over Portugal and its association with circulation weather types. Atmospheric Research, 101(1-2): 84-101.

38. Youssef, A.M. and N.H. Maerz, 2013. Overview of some geological hazards in the Saudi Arabia. Environmental Earth Sciences, 70(7): 3115-3130.

39. Goodman, A.H. and A. Henderson-Sellers, 1988. Cloud detection analysis: A review of recent progress. Atmospheric Research, 21: 203-221.

40. Simpson, J., 1999. Improved cloud detection and cross-calibration of ATSR, MODIS and MERIS data. In (ESA-SP-479), E. P. D., editor, ATSR International Workshop on the Applications of the ERS along track scanning radiometer.

41. Molders, N., M. Laube and E. Raschke, 1995. Evaluation of model generated cloud cover by means of satellite data. Atmospheric Research, 39(1-3): 91-111.

42. El-Hames, A.S., M. Al-Ahmadi and N. Al-Amri, 2011. A GIS approach for the assessment of groundwater quality in Wadi Rabigh aquifer, Saudi Arabia. Environmental Earth Sciences, 63(6): 1319-1331.

43. Tyler, S.W., J.B. Chapman, S.H. Conrad, D.P. Hammermeister, D.O. Blout, J.J. Miller, M.J. Sully and J.M. Ginanni, 1996. Soil-water flux in the Southern Great Basin, United States: temporal and spatial variations over the last 120,000 years. Water Resources Research, 32: 1481-1499.

44. Cobet, T.F., 2000. A groundwater-basin approach to conceptualize and simulate post-Pleistocene subsurface flow in a semi-arid region, southeastern New Mexico and western Texas, USA. Hydrogeology Journal, 8(3): 310-327.

45. Brunner, P., H.J. Hendricks-Franssen, L. Kgotlhang, P. Bauer-Gottwein and W. Kinzelbach, 2007. How can remote Sensing contribute in groundwater modeling? Hydrogeology Journal, 15(1): 5-18.

46. Roerink, G.J., Z. Su and M. Mementi, 2000. S-SEBI: a simple remote sensing algorithm to estimate the surface energy balance. Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Part B2(2): 147-157.

47. Palecki, M.A. and J.E. Bell, 2013. U.S. Climate Reference Network soil moisture observations with triple redundancy: Measurement variability. Vadose Zone Journal 12(2): doi:10.2136/vzj2012.0158.

48. Dawod, G.M., M.N. Mirza and K.A. Al-Ghamdi, 2012. GIS-based estimation of flood hazard impacts on road network in Makkah city, Saudi Arabia. Environmental Earth Sciences, 67(8): 2205-2215.

49. Zhang, L., W.R. Dawes, T.J. Hatton, P.H. Reece, G.T.H. Beale and I. Packer, 1999. Estimation of soil moisture and groundwater recharge using the TOPOG_IRM model. Water Resources Research, 35(1): 149-161.

.   Elhag, M., A. Psilovikos and M. Sakellariou, 2013. Detection of Land Cover Changes for Water Recourses Management Using Remote Sensing Data over the Nile Delta Region. Environment, Development and Sustainability, 15(5): 1189-1204.